

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
2 A Limited Liability Partnership
3 Including Professional Corporations
4 JOY SIU, Nevada Bar No. 15095
5 1584 Villa Rica Drive
6 Henderson, NV 89052
7 Telephone: 415.774.3108
8 E mail: jsiu@sheppardmullin.com

9 PATRICIA M. JENG, Cal. Bar No. 272262
10 (*Pro Hac Vice*)
11 SAMI HASAN, Cal. Bar No. 272333
12 (*Pro Hac Vice*)
13 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN, Cal. Bar No. 339821
14 (*Pro Hac Vice*)
15 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor
16 San Francisco, California 94111-4109
17 Telephone: 415.434.9100
18 E mail: pjeng@sheppardmullin.com
19 shasan@sheppardmullin.com
20 smgriffin@sheppardmullin.com

21 Attorneys for Defendant
22 REGIS CORPORATION

23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
24 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

25 ANGELETTA PAIGE, an individual, on
26 behalf of herself and all others similarly
27 situated,

28 Plaintiff,

v.

ARCNV, INC. d/b/a ARCCO
ENTERPRISES; REGIS
CORPORATION d/b/a
SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS and
DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case Number
2:25-cv-00954- CDS-DJA

**JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER TO
EXTEND DEFENDANT REGIS
CORPORATION'S DEADLINE TO
RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT and [PROPOSED]
ORDER**

(SECOND REQUEST)

Judge: Hon. Cristina D. Silva

Complaint Filed: May 30, 2025

1 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule 7-1(c), Plaintiff Angeletta Paige
2 (“Plaintiff”) by and through her counsel of record, RAFII & ASSOCIATES, P.C., and Defendant
3 REGIS CORPORATION d/b/a SMARTSTYLES HAIR SALONS (“Defendant” or “Regis”) by and
4 through its counsel of record, SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP jointly move
5 this Court for an Order that Defendant shall have an extension up to and including **November 10,**
6 **2025**, in which to file a response to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint. This Joint Motion is
7 submitted and based on the following.

8 **WHEREAS**, on or about May 30, 2025, Plaintiff filed her original Complaint.

9 **WHEREAS**, on or about July 8, 2025, Regis timely answered Plaintiff’s Complaint.

10 **WHEREAS**, on or about September 22, 2025, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint to
11 include additional claims against Regis;

12 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Regis and Plaintiff have met and conferred, and have agreed to
13 continue to meet and confer, regarding whether Regis is an appropriately named defendant and
14 employer of Plaintiff;

15 **WHEREAS**, counsel for Regis and Plaintiff have continued to have discussions regarding
16 whether Regis is an appropriately named defendant and employer of Plaintiff;

17 **WHEREAS**, in order to allow the Parties to continue their discussions regarding a potential
18 dismissal of Regis, the Parties have agreed to extend the deadline for Regis to respond to Plaintiff’s
19 First Amended Complaint to November 10, 2025;

20 **WHEREAS**, this is the second request by the parties to extend the time for Regis to respond to
21 Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint;

22 **WHEREAS**, the Parties believe these circumstances constitute good cause for granting an
23 extension.

24 **NOW THEREFORE THE PARTIES JOINTLY MOVE FOR AN ORDER** for Defendant
25 Regis Corporation to file an answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint by
26 **November 10, 2025**.

1 Dated: October 22, 2025

2 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

3
4 By /s/ Patricia M. Jeng

5 JOY SIU

6 PATRICIA M. JENG

7 (Pro Hac Vice)

8 SAMI HASAN

9 (Pro Hac Vice)

10 SHAYLA M. GRIFFIN

11 (Pro Hac Vice)

12 Attorneys for Defendant
13 REGIS CORPORATION

14 Dated: October 22, 2025

15 By /s/ Rachel Mariner

16 Rachel Mariner

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff

18 ANGELETTA PAIGE

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

THE COURT GRANTS the Joint Motion to Extend Defendant Regis Corporation's Deadline to Respond to First Amended Complaint to November 10, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED



DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: October 24, 2025